EASTERN DIVISION SKI AND TOBOGGAN QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

E		Deee	ut Deview			Deter					
Event Loc			rt, Region			Date:	XX/XX/XXXX				
Event Coordinator: Jane Smith Type of Event: Senior X Skier Enhancement Toboggan Enhancement											
Weather:	Clear	X	Snow	Skier Enna	Rain		Cloudy	X			
Temperat		^ 0-15	SHOW	16-25	Rain	26-35	Cloudy	^ 36+	X		
Terrain:	Was it Se		rroin?	Yes	No	20-35	Bumps:	Yes	<u>x</u>	No	
Number o			31	# Pass	20	# Uneu	ccessful	See below		Ratio:	65%
Number o			16	# Fass			tors per Skill:	See below		Ralio.	0576
Comment		15.	10		Number C	o Evalua	tors per Skill.	See below			
		Datrol	lor Skille_(5) Skiina-(5), Advocate	ac/Monto	re_(3)				
	• • •				,		. ,		oo of ul	o	
Candidates	s successi	ui in all	evenis-20	(65%), su		Juoggans	s only-8, comple		essiui-	5	
T h. a. a. a. a. a. a. a.							and a table				
			-			-	ordinate, betwe			examiners	
Resort was an exceedingly gracious host, providing breakfast, lunch, and a pizza party for the program, and constantly asking if there was anything that was needed to facilitate the test. The instructions to the											
			-	-							
	•		-				een during the o		•		
-				•			All three group			•	
careful in the reading of the event objectives, as stated in the score book, and in asking the candidates for comprehension of the instructions, or the need for further clarification of those instructions.											
compreher	nsion of the	e instru	ctions, or t	the need for	r further clai	rification	of those instruct	tions.			
The terrain used was appropriate for all three groups, for length, and level of difficulty. And if anything, the bumps became larger as the day progressed. As far as scoring goes, the scores were appropriate and consistent amongst all the examiner groups, with no real surprises to the candidates. Feedback to the candidates during the test was ongoing throughout the day, was positive, and the candidates knew where they stood at the end of each section. There were multiple instances of additional runs, in all sections, to allow struggling candidates the chance to perform better, with feedback specific to their own area of deficiency. The last ski group, Group #2, as a whole was having issues with angulation, edge engagement, and the concept of different turn radius size, small, medium large, seemed almost foreign to them. This group sparked a conversation within that group of examiners as to whether or not some of those candidates should have been signed off by their respective Patrol Directors/S&T advisors and given entrance to the Senior S&T program in the first place. Given the level of skill demonstrated by the group in question, I agree with the examiners, that some of these candidates were not properly vetted before coming to the exam. Once the three stations tabulated their scoring, the master scoring sheet was filled with each group in sequence, primarily focusing on those that had been unsuccessful in that station. This made for an efficient "rough" scoring of the exam, while making special notes for those candidates that were unsuccessful, as to specifics and what they need to work on, to be more successful in the future.											
where can dodging th ending her examiners	didates in r e paying cu day with k minds, and	nore th ustome nee inji d minim	an a few i rs. And a ury and a nizing the	nstances w t one point a sled ride to exam's imp	ere having t a collision w the patrol ro act on the p	rouble co ith a payi oom. Saf aying pul	arose with the s ompleting the sk ng customer inj ety was at the f olic was constar , but precaution	tills as direc ured an exa orefront of t ntly stressed	ted, due aminer (he d in all t	e to (name) hree	
Bottom Lin	e was the	evaluat	ion fair?	Yes	Х	No					
	offi	Ct-44	Nome			Data Ca	malatadi		VV		
Division St	all.	Staff	Name				mpleted:	XX/XX/XX	~~		

No

Expense Report Submitted Yes X Copy to Eastern Division S&T Supervisor

Copy to Eastern Division Steering QC Administrator

Copy to Region S&T Advisor